REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	21 February 2000
Name of applicant/court:	Comptroller of Customs
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	Untitled
Other Known Titles:	Item 24 Proof sheet containing 37 proofs
Director:	Not Applicable
Producer:	Not Applicable
Publisher:	Not Applicable
Author:	Not Applicable
Format:	Photographic Material
Country of Origin:	Not Applicable
Language:	Not Applicable
• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Components of film originally exam	nined: Not Applicable.
Feature:	Running time:
Trailers:	Running time:
	Total Running time:
Excision/Alteration: Not A	applicable.
Reason(s) for Excision:	
Not Applicable.	

Not Applicable.				
Descriptive Note:				
Not Applicable.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • •	•
Direction to issue a label has been given on:	Not Applicable.			
Direction to issue a label has been given on:	Not Applicable.			

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The untitled photographic material, also known as *Item 24 Proof sheet containing 37* proofs is classified as: Unrestricted.

The publication consists of a black and white contact proof sheet with seven rows of photographic proofs in 35mm format. Each individual image is quite small, in the manner of such proofs. All of the photographic images are wide shots of the same girl either clothed or partially clothed in a long classical ballet tutu and point shoes. In the images where she is partially clothed either her breasts or the top of the cleft between her buttocks are visible. Her physique is slim and she has girlish facial characteristics and small, formed but youthful breasts. While there is an impression that the camera is voyeuristically watching a girl undress, the shots where she looks over her shoulder at the mirror appear posed and contradict this impression. Overall the images appear graceful. The dominant effect of the publication is romantic and aesthetic rather than sexual.

While the publication exploits the nudity of young persons, it does not do so to an extent or degree, or in a manner, that would render its availability likely to be injurious to the public good. Consequently, no restriction is placed on the publication. The freedom of expression set out in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 is not limited by this classification.

OFLC Ref: 9901545